## Historical Observation Preparation and Use in Reanalysis Projects at NCEP

J.S.Woollen

#### **Observation Feedback Designed into Reanalysis 1 BUFR Processing**

Paul Julian used the term "events" to refer to observation feedback during the design and building of the Reanalysis 1 system in 1992.

Whether this was Paul's original idea or not I don't know, but he was a vocal and vigorous proponent of the concept.

It was decided to build in BUFR software to incorporate the events feedback into the observation file as the system progressed.

Originally the main concern was recording QC actions-reasons-results in the data, but as the system developed, the assimilation and forecast were obviously important sources of feedback.

So "events" really are the data assimilation process recorded from the observations point of view.

## TEMPERATURE OBSERVATION WITH EVENTS

			/		7			
#6	OIQC	тов	TQM	TRC	TCL	TFC	TOE	TAN
#5	VIRTMP	TOB	TQM	TRC				
#4	RADCOR	TOB	TQM	TRC				
#3	CQC	TOB	TQM	TRC				
#2	PREVENT	TOB	TQM	TRC				
#1	PREPRO	TOB	TQM	TRC			Streeter 1	
	1		1		×			

## **REANALYSIS OBSERVATION ARCHIVE STRUCTURE**





40 year global 500mb radiosonde fits to the reanalysis 6hr forecast and analyzed fields with observation error plotted.



temperature mean and rms differences



wind speed mean and rms vector differences

#### **Reanalysis 1 Observation Components**

#### **RAOB/PIBAL**



Figure 1. Raob/pibal inventory. From back to front, in megabytes; NMC, JMA, SPEC, FGGE/ECM, USAF, TD54, TWERLE, GATE, USCR, TD53, CARDS.

#### **Reanalysis 1 Observation Components**

#### SYNOP

#### **Reanalysis 1 Observation Components**

#### AIREP/PIREP/ACARS



Figure 3. Land surface inventory. From back to front, in megabytes; NMC, ICEB, FGGE, USAF, TD13, TD14, USSR.



Figure 2. Aircraft inventory. From back to front, in megabytes; NMC, JMA, NZAC, FGGE, USAF, GASP, GATE, SDAC, TD57.

## **Evolution of NCEP Observation Feedback Scenarios**

- The R1 scheme was put into GDAS operations in 1993
- SSI did not contribute its QC events to the archive
- GSI was designed with enhanced feedback for all data
- CNVSTAT files contain GSI feedback for conventional data
- RADSTAT files contain GSI feedback for radiance data
- GPSRO, ozone data, and precp data have separate FB also

#### **CNVSTAT Assimilation (GSI) Feedback for Conventional Observations**

```
cwork(nn)=cdiag(i)
                                           ! station id
dwork(nn,0)=jtype
                                           ! observation type (ps,q,t,uv,etc)
dwork(nn,1)=itype
                                           ! oi report type
                                           ! observation latitude(degrees)
dwork(nn,2) = rdiag(3,i)
dwork(nn,3) = rdiag(4,i)
                                           ! observation longitude(degrees)
                                           ! station elevation(meters)
dwork(nn, 4) = rdiag(5, i)
dwork(nn,5) = rdiag(6,i)
                                           ! observation pressure (hpa)
dwork(nn, 6) = rdiag(7, i)
                                           ! observation height (meters)
dwork(nn,7) = rdiag(8,i)
                                           ! obs time (hours relat. to anal. time)
dwork(nn, 8) = rdiag(9, i)
                                           ! input prepbufr qc or event mark
                                           ! read prepbufr data usage flag
dwork(nn,9)=rdiag(11,i)
dwork(nn, 10) = rdiag(12, i)
                                           ! data usage flag((1=use, -1=not used)
                                           ! variational qc weight
dwork(nn, 11) = rdiag(13, i) *.25
dwork (nn, 12) = 1.0/rdiag(16, i)
                                           ! analysis adjusted observation error
```

```
if ( trim(dtype) == ' uv') then
   dwork(nn, 15) = rdiag(17, i)
                                          ! u observation
   dwork(nn,16)=rdiag(17,i)-rdiag(18,i) ! u background or analysis
                                          ! v observation
   dwork(nn, 17) = rdiag(20, i)
   dwork(nn,18)=rdiag(20,i)-rdiag(21,i) ! v background or analysis
   dwork(nn, 19) = rdiag(23, i)
                                           ! 10m wind reduction factor
else if( trim(dtype) == ' q') then
   dwork(nn, 15) = rdiag(17, i)
                                           ! observation
   dwork(nn,16)=rdiag(17,i)-rdiag(18,i) ! background or analysis
   dwork(nn, 17) = rdiag(20, i)
                                          ! guess saturation specific humidity
else
                                          ! observation
   dwork(nn, 15) = rdiag(17, i)
   dwork(nn,16)=rdiag(17,i)-rdiag(18,i) ! background or analysis
endif
```

## **CFSRR used the original R1 + the new GSI Feedback Features**



**Example CFSR Feedback summary for JMA SATOBs** 

#### We used feedback summaries to look at some of the problems in CFSRR





## RAOB min pres reported 01jul1990

Jack Woollen EMC/NCEP/NOAA







## **Improved Fits To Analysis And Forecast**



<u>Comparison of QBO response between NCEP HYBRID vs 3DVAR reanalysis</u> systems shows clearly the advantage of the hybrid methodology in data sparse regions. The red lines are 4day running means of the u-wind observations. The green are 4day running means of the analysis at the data points. The blue is the time series of the analysis mean uwind in +/- 10 degrees latitude. The boxes at the bottom show the daily ob count with green accepted and red rejected.



#### **Compare Hybrid QBO Response with JRA55 and ERAINT**



The difference between PRHL4 and ERAINT seemed in part due to many rejected rocketsonde winds in the HYBRID. The plot labeled prhl4-erint below left shows the rejected data by + overlaid on the difference. The plot below right shows the same comparison with PRHN4, like PRHL4, but with wind data above 5mb retained. When the data is assimilated, the HYBRID differences from ERAINT diminish somewhat. This appears to be the cause of the HYBRID westerly hump in Apr-May 1982, and suggests that a loosening of the VarQC tolerances for wind in the tropical stratosphere is important.

mean ugrd 10s—10n prhl4 — eraint



mean ugrd 10s—10n prhn4 — eraint



#### Using rocketsondes appears to help in spring 1982 but not before that

#### Once again it is seen that QC is a difficult part of this process



#### **RADSTAT Assimilation (GSI) Feedback for Radiance Observations**

(GSI code to) Write diagnostics to output file.

```
diagbuf(1) = cenlat
                                                ! observation latitude (degrees)
diagbuf(2) = cenlon
                                                ! observation longitude (degrees)
diagbuf(3) = zsges
                                                ! model (quess) elevation at observation location
diagbuf(4) = dtime-time offset
                                                 ! observation time (hours relative to analysis time)
diagbuf(5) = data s(iscan pos,n)
                                                ! sensor scan position
diagbuf(6) = zasat*rad2deg
                                                ! satellite zenith angle (degrees)
diagbuf(7) = data s(ilazi ang,n)
                                                ! satellite azimuth angle (degrees)
diagbuf(8) = pangs
                                                ! solar zenith angle (degrees)
diagbuf(9) = data s(isazi ang, n)
                                                ! solar azimuth angle (degrees)
diagbuf(10) = sgagl
                                                 ! sun glint angle (degrees) (sgagl)
diagbuf(11) = surface(1)%water coverage
                                                ! fractional coverage by water
diagbuf(12) = surface(1)%land coverage
                                                ! fractional coverage by land
diagbuf(13) = surface(1)%ice coverage
                                                ! fractional coverage by ice
diagbuf(14) = surface(1)%snow coverage
                                                ! fractional coverage by snow
diagbuf(15) = surface(1)%water temperature
                                                ! surface temperature over water (K)
diagbuf(16) = surface(1)%land temperature
                                                 ! surface temperature over land (K)
diagbuf(17) = surface(1)%ice temperature
                                                 ! surface temperature over ice (K)
                                                ! surface temperature over snow (K)
diagbuf(18) = surface(1)%snow temperature
diagbuf(19) = surface(1)%soil temperature
                                                 ! soil temperature (K)
diagbuf(20) = surface(1)%soil moisture content
                                                ! soil moisture
diagbuf(21) = surface(1)%land type
                                                 ! surface land type
diagbuf(22) = surface(1)%vegetation fraction
                                                 ! vegetation fraction
diagbuf(23) = surface(1)%snow depth
                                                 ! snow depth
diagbuf(24) = surface(1)%wind speed
                                                ! surface wind speed (m/s)
diagbufchan(1,i)=tb obs(ich diag(i))
                                                ! observed brightness temperature (K)
diagbufchan(2,i)=tbc(ich diag(i))
                                                ! observed - simulated Tb with bias corrrection (K)
diagbufchan(3,i)=tbcnob(ich diag(i))
                                                ! observed - simulated Tb with no bias correction (K)
diagbufchan(4,i)=errinv
                                                ! inverse observation error
diagbufchan(5,i)=id gc(ich diag(i))*useflag
                                                ! quality control mark or event indicator
Note: errinv = sqrt(varinv(ich diag(i)))
Note: if (iuse rad(ich(ich diag(i))) < 1) useflag=-one
```

## This graphic made by Craig Long furthered our understanding about

#### bias corrected satellites and using radiance assimilation feedback



#### **Prior to 1998 the SSU assimilation causes the problem by bias correcting channel 3**

Model warm bias feeds into SSU bias correction and heats up the stratosphere until a stream (or satellite) boundary occurs when the bias correction resets



#### After 1998 AMSUA channel 13 does the same thing because it is also bias corrected using a model biased background



# The false stratospheric heating is evidenced almost to the tropopause



Jack Woollen EMC/NCEP/NOAA

## <u>An example of some of the latest web enabled graphics using conventional</u> <u>data feedback</u>

#### http://cfs.ncep.noaa.gov/pub/raid0/GFS\_test/Zeus/fits.lidia/fits/fit2obs.html

